



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_51274
Title of the Manuscript:	APPROXIMATE CALCULATION OF THERMODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF CALCIUM CRYSTALLINE COMPOUNDS AND COPPER, ZINC AND COBALT COMPOUNDS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lots of grammatical mistakes. It has to be checked and corrected.</li> <li>• Title can be changed</li> <li>• In the introductory part calculation of thermodynamical properties has to be given</li> <li>• In figure 3 the data given in the axes has to be checked 40, 12 .....?</li> <li>• Method of calculations of thermodynamic functions can be given in detail.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In line no. 128 , On the base of mentioned below.....has to be changed</li> <li>• No clarity in line no 180</li> <li>• Line no. 183 calculated..... has to be changed as .....Heat capacities at high temperature....</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In line no 184 We also used this method.....can be changed as Similar method was adopted in calculating.....</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	S. Santha Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	Thiruvalluvar University, India